

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vizginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/043,392	11/07/2001	Jason K. Trotter	ITWO:0015	4607
75	590 07/15/2003			
Tait R. Swanson Fletcher, Yoder & Van Someren P.O. Box 692289			EXAMINER	
			ORTIZ, ANGELA Y	
Houston, TX	77269-2289		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1732	7
			DATE MAILED: 07/15/2003	+

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		AS_			
	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)			
	10/043,392	TROTTER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Angela Ortiz	1732			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the c ver sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	si6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status 1) Pennancius to communication(s) filed on 05 A	for 2002				
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 №</u> 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		osecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under language. Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-14,17-24,27-34 and 52-63 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1-12,17-19,21-24,29,30,52,53,57,58</u> a	and 61-63 is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) <u>13,14,20,27,28,31-34,54-56,59 and 66</u>	② is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application has been rec	eived.			
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic Attachment(s)	C priority uniter 35 0.3.C. 99 120	anu/ULIZI.			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5.	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
0 Duning 17 1 1 2 1					

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1, 3-6, 11-12, 18, 19, 24, 29, 30, 52, 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jackson, USP 4,290,181 for the reasons cited in the previous office action.

The cited reference teaches the claimed method including forming a ball joint by placing a ball stud within a desired structure, and injecting mold material into the structure to mold a layer of material around the ball stud and form a mechanical joint. The desired structure includes a cavity that is shaped to receive the ball portion of the ball stud. A retaining ring may be provided at the edge of the structure, or a positioning fixture may be provided with first and second flanged edges to allow the ball stud to be self-retaining, and allow symmetrical centered positioning of the ball stud within the structure. After injecting of the mold material, the ball stud may be rotated to allow the material to contract and fix to the ball stud, and to allow the formed structure to be self-tolerancing. Please see col. 2, line 15 to col. 3, line 25.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 2, 21-23, 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jackson, USP 4,290,181 in view of Runyan et al., USP 4,430,285 for the reasons cited in the previous office action.

The cited primary reference substantially teaches the basic claimed method including forming a ball joint by placing a ball stud within a desired structure, and injecting mold material into the structure to mold a layer of material around the ball stud and form a mechanical joint. The desired structure includes a cavity that is shaped to receive the ball portion of the ball stud. A retaining ring may be provided at the edge of the structure, or a positioning fixture may be provided with flanged edges to allow the ball stud to be self-retaining, and allow symmetrical centered positioning of the ball stud within the structure. After injecting of the mold material, the ball stud may be rotated to

Art Unit: 1732

allow the material to contract and fix to the ball stud, and to allow the formed structure to be self-tolerancing. Please see col. 2, line 15 to col. 3, line 25.

The cited primary reference does not set forth molding of the ball stud in place.

The cited secondary reference teaches the basic claimed process of molding a ball stud assembly. The method teaches as conventional the forming of a ball stud structure by molding a ball structure with an integral shank. The ball stud is placed within a cavity, and a housing is formed around the ball stud, and shaped into a desired configuration. See col. 3, lines 25-50.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to so include molding of the ball stud as shown in the added reference, when performing the process set forth in the primary reference, as an alternative equivalent means for providing the ball stud as desired, as such would equivalently yield the desired joint structure.

Note that the liner and the housing structure comprise plural layers around the ball stud.

Claim 57 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jackson et al., USP 4,290,181 in view of Jackson et al., USP 6,010,271 (already of record).

The cited primary reference substantially teaches the basic claimed method including forming a ball joint by placing a ball stud within a desired structure, and injecting mold material into the structure to mold a layer of material around the ball stud and form a mechanical joint. The desired structure includes a cavity that is shaped to receive the ball portion of the ball stud. A retaining ring may be provided at the edge of the structure, or a positioning fixture may be provided with flanged edges to allow the ball stud to be self-retaining, and allow symmetrical centered positioning of the ball stud within the structure. After injecting of the mold material, the ball stud may be rotated to allow the material to contract and fix to the ball stud, and to allow the formed structure to be self-tolerancing. Please see col. 2, line 15 to col. 3, line 25.

The cited primary reference does not set forth a spring structure within the housing structure.

The added reference teaches placing a coil (34) within the open housing structure (12), providing a ball within the structure. See claim 1 and col. 2, lines 60-68.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a spring structure within the housing unit as shown in the added reference, when performing the process set forth in the primary reference, for providing a biasing pressure means on the ball member.

Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jackson, USP 4,290,181 in view of Borgen et al., USP 4,439,909 for the reasons cited in the previous office action.

The cited primary reference teaches the basic claimed method including forming a ball joint by placing a ball stud within a desired structure, and injecting mold material into the structure to mold a layer of material around the ball stud and form a mechanical joint. The desired structure includes a cavity that is shaped to receive the ball portion of the ball stud. A retaining ring may be provided at the edge of the structure, or a

Art Unit: 1732

positioning fixture may be provided with flanged edges to allow the ball stud to be self-retaining, and allow symmetrical centered positioning of the ball stud within the structure. After injecting of the mold material, the ball stud may be rotated to allow the material to contract and fix to the ball stud, and to allow the formed structure to be self-tolerancing. Please see col. 2, line 15 to col. 3, line 25.

The cited primary reference does not show the claimed step of creating a temperature differential between the housing structure and the ball stud.

The added secondary reference teaches as conventional the forming of a ball joint by preheating a housing structure and force fitting the structure around a ball stud, wherein a tight fit is required. See col. 2, line 55 to col. 3, line 15.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to create a temperature differential as shown in the added reference, when performing the process set forth in the primary reference, for forming a structure with a tight fit.

The added reference shows heating the housing structure; note that heating of the ball would have been obvious also as heating of either structure would equivalently achieve the desired temperature differential.

Note that the reference teaches the step of quenching the heated housing. The step of quenching cools the assembly and is equivalent to the claimed step of cooling.

Note that the housing cools toward the ball stud as claimed.

Art Unit: 1732

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 13, 14, 20, 27, 28, 31-34, 54-56, 59, 60 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14, 17-24, 27-34, 52-63 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

As noted in a previous discussion, some of the newly added claims read on the previously applied reference to Jackson et al., USP 4,290,181. The previously indicated allowable subject matter drawn to abutting portions is also readable on the first and second flanged ends of the positioning member used in Jackson et al., USP 4,290,181. This feature is now rejected. This action is made non-final, although the claims have been amended and new claims added, to give the applicant a chance to properly argue the applied art.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angela Ortiz whose telephone number is 703-308-4446. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9:00-6:30.

Art Unit: 1732

Page 8

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on 703-308-3853. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Angela Ortiz

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1732

ao July 13, 2003